Ms. WATERS. Madam Speaker, I rise to thank Congresswoman ROYBAL-ALLARD and Congresswoman DELAURO for providing this opportunity for us to highlight women who have had an impact on our lives and on the lives of others in our communities and in our Nation. Today we are here to honor a Woman of the Year, someone who we know to be an exceptional person from our district, who we seek to recognize for her leadership in a particular issue or field.

I am so proud and delighted to honor Ms. Kai Parker from Gardena, CA, in my district. Ms. Parker is an advocate for children, an activist in the community, a member of several boards and commissions, and a political appointee—serving as the Gardena Human Resources Commissioner.

Kai Parker has devoted her life to helping people reach their highest potential, from voung children to seniors. In her current position as executive office coordinator of the Special Projects Bureau of Operations within the Department of Children Services in the County of Los Angeles, she has worked tirelessly to serve the children of Gardena, specifically children who come from foster homes. She has developed numerous, highly successful programs to develop skills and instill pride in people who come from disadvantaged backgrounds. Kai, herself, was raised in public housing, overcoming many obstacles along the way to her success. So she knows how self-respect can empower people to work hard and take them as far as they can go.

I had the opportunity to visit one of Kai's programs in Gardena called the African-Centered Saturday School. This program aims to provide a safe, nurturing environment for children who have been directed into the child custody system. Many of these children have been placed in protective custody, in a foster home, or with relatives, to distance them from parents who harmed them or who could not properly care for them. These are not bad kids, they are just unsafe. Many have experienced severe physical and emotional abuse. neglect, abandonment, poverty, substance abuse, developmental disabilities, educational handicaps, and many other serious social disorders. Yet, oftentimes, they still love their parents and do not understand what is happening to them. Kai has worked to decrease their trauma by loving them and empowering them to help themselves and turn their lives around.

Let me tell you about this program which serves 35 children between the ages of 6 months and 13 years. Those who attend Saturday School every Saturday from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. receive academic instruction and tutoring, nutritious meals, and health care. They participate in field trips, special community events, recreation, and cultural activities. And this program is almost totally privately funded (after a jump-start from the city of Gardena).

One of the most important features of Saturday School is that the children are exposed to and encouraged to learn more about the African culture. They are taught about their African ancestors and their traditions and food, they learn Swahili, and through that they develop a sense of nobility, which in turn highlights their self-esteem. This program enriches their knowledge of their culture and of themselves. It seeks to instill pride in them so that, throughout their lives, the children will have a strong sense of who they are, as well as a vision of where they may want to go in their future.

Kai Parker's program, in only 2 years, has visibly developed and empowered the innercity children it is designed to assist, as well as the community. It has brought together the whole Los Angeles community, or village, to help create whole citizens of these wonderful kids. From the donated church space to the tutoring offered by members of the Los Angeles Board of Education, community members from all walks of life volunteer to protect children. Thank you so much, Kai Parker, for creating this exemplary, highly successful program, and for all your inspirational work on behalf of our community.

One more thing. I am proud to say that Kai and I both work together as members of the Black Women's Forum. She has too many credentials and awards to list, but I must say that her efforts in helping welfare children and troubled youth through her many successful programs, from Saturday School to Summer Youth Institute Camps, have changed many lives. I commend her efforts to improve people's lives and am honored to name her my "Woman of the Year" from the 35th district of California

Mr. FROST. Madam Speaker, as part of Women's History Month, I am pleased to have the opportunity to select Mrs. Izean Davidson, of Fort Worth, TX, as Woman of the Year.

Mrs. Izean Davidson, a life long Texan, has spent 42 years as an educator in the Texas public school system, serving as a classroom teacher and reading specialist. A leader in her community, Mrs. Davidson is a strong advocate for teaching the highest social and academic values to young adults. As a member of the Baker Chapel African Methodist Episcopal Church, she has worked tirelessly to implement programs which build self esteem and inspire young Texans.

In addition, Mrs. Davidson has participated in various organizations, boards and committees, including: the Fort Worth Mayor's Council, NAACP Board of Directors, Delegate to the National Democratic Convention for three successive terms, and Fort Worth Commission of the Status of Women.

It is an honor and a privilege to know Mrs. Izean Davidson. Clearly, her hard work and dedication to public service have improved the lives of many people in Fort Worth as well as in the State of Texas. I am proud to recognize Mrs. Davidson's contribution to women's history during this special month.

Mr. STÖKES. Madam Speaker, I want to express my appreciation to our colleague, the gentle lady from the District of Columbia, ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON, for leading this important special order. This evening, she has reserved time so that we can have meaningful dialogue on the issue of women, wages, and jobs. It is a topic of paramount importance to this Congress and the Nation.

As I join my colleagues this evening, I am reminded that many years ago, a widowed mother scrubbed floors to earn a living and to provide an education for her two sons. Trying to balance raising a family and working a low-paying job, I recall that the family endured many hardships and struggles. This woman was my mother, Louise Stokes. As I join you today, I would like to remind my colleagues that women continue to face these same types of obstacles.

I am disappointed that this Republican-controlled Congress which came to Capitol Hill armed with its "Contract with America" and

"Personal Responsibility" initiatives has not only neglected women, but they have sought to destroy decades of progress. During this Congress, we have been forced to defend womens' rights. We have fought to protect the programs which impact the lives of women and their families, including school lunch and child care programs, tax incentives for working families, and the elimination of the glass ceiling so that women and minorities can advance in the workplace.

Mr. Speaker, the issue of women in the workplace is particularly significant. In greater numbers, in more occupations, and for more years of their lives than ever before, today's women constitute nearly half of our Nation's work force. Unfortunately, they are still earning considerably less than their male counterparts. Although the passage of the equal pay act in 1963 attempts to ensure equal wages for men and women, in today's market, a woman earns 71 cents for every dollar of her male counterpart. Further, despite increased access to higher education, women with a college education earn, on the average, only slightly more than men with a high school diploma. and they earn about \$10,000 a year less than men with comparable education.

While we focus tonight's special order on the status of women, we are reminded of how their lives touch the lives of millions of America's children. If we look at statistics, never has the number of working women with young children been higher—67 percent of women with children under the age of 18 are working or seeking employment. As such, child care is of paramount concern to working women and to women interested in entering the work force.

As you may know, this issue greatly affects our Nation's low-income women. In fact, the Republican welfare reform proposal, H.R. 4, includes provisions which would cause major reductions in child care funding. This would have a devastating impact on the ability of single parents to become employed. If we are serious about ending welfare, then we must be willing to make the investment and provide the vehicle that is so necessary to achieving this goal. To do anything less is an injustice to our children.

Mr. Speaker, I join Congresswoman NORTON and others gathered in the House Chamber as we reaffirm our commitment to addressing the needs of women throughout the Nation. Pay equity, child care, and equality in the job market, are goals that can be and must be achieved. We stand today challenging our colleagues to join in this important effort.

HONORING ADA LOIS SIPUEL FISH-ER AND HELEN COLE DURING WOMEN'S HISTORY MONTH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. WATTS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Madam Speaker, there have been two special women throughout my life, my deceased mother, Helen Watts, and my gracious wife, Frankie Watts, and, of course, my four wonderful daughters.

During this month of March, dedicated as National Women's Month, tonight I would like to pay tribute to two very special women from the great State of Oklahoma that have influenced my political life, Ada Lois Sipuel Fisher and Helen Cole.

Madam Speaker, Ada Lois Sipuel Fisher was born in Chickasha, OK, to parents only one generation removed from slavery. She received her bachelor's degree from Langston University and then in 1946, applied to the all-white University of Oklahoma law school. Because Oklahoma had no separate law school for blacks, she contended, the State's official policy of separate but equal education was illusory. Her simple request for an equal education sparked controversy across the country.

Ada Lois Sipuel Fisher was a strong woman who endured many trying times and eventually triumphed. Her effort to enroll in the University of Oklahoma in January 1946, would take Thurgood Marshall and more than three years and two trips to the Supreme Court. Ms. Fisher carried herself with dignity throughout the entire ordeal. Her patience and courage eventually won the support of thousands of Oklahomans, including the university president, and it also won justice for her and thousands of others who would follow in her footsteps.

Ada Lois Sipuel Fisher graduated from law school in 1951, earned a masters in history in 1968, and then spent many years as a professor and chair of social sciences at Langston University. In 1992, in recognition of her lifetime of serving, she was appointed a member of the board of regents of the university of Oklahoma.

The Sipuel Case was a legal landmark which pointed the way to the elimination of segregation in all of American public education. This woman's strength and positive attitude made Oklahoma a better State, and it made the United States a better nation.

Another dynamic Oklahoman is State senator, Helen Cole. Helen Cole is a native Oklahoman who has spent her career dedicated to helping others through public service in Oklahoma. She served in a variety of political offices including the State Republican Committee, Cleveland County precinct judge, and the State House of Representatives.

Throughout her life as a public servant, Helen Cole has championed many cases. She is deeply concerned with the drug problem in America and works to educate people through Alcohol and Drug Centers. She is also involved in promoting ethics in government and belongs to the League of Women voters where she strives to encourage others to take an active role in government.

In addition to her public achievements, Senator Cole is a wife and a mother. She is as dedicated to her family as she is in her service to our great State. She has been a rock of Gibraltar in difficult times for many, she has been a friend to me, a consultant, and a prayer partner. She has truly been a shining star. Mr. Speaker, it gives me

great honor to recognize Ada Lois Sipuel Fisher and Helen Cole today. They are women who represent great integrity and principle—women we Oklahomans are proud to call our own.

□ 2130

CHANGE IN ORDER OF TAKING SPECIAL ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. SEASTRAND). The gentlewoman from Texas [Ms. Jackson-Lee] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to substitute for the gentlewoman from Texas.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

ON ARMS TRANSFER TO PAKISTAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. PALLONE] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Madam Speaker, I rise to express my strong opposition to the impending shipment of United States arms to Pakistan. The administration proposes shipping 368 million dollar's worth of conventional arms to Pakistan, despite the recent revelations that Pakistan received nuclear technology from China last year. While I have often come to well of the House to defend this administration's foreign policy, in this case I must express my complete opposition to the direction that we are going by in providing sophisticated and de-stabilizing weapons to Pakistan, a country that has repeatedly broken their assurances to us about their nuclear weapons development and acquisition intentions.

A provision in the Foreign Operations appropriations legislation that finally became law earlier this year would authorize the transfer of \$368 million in sophisticated conventional weaponry, including three Navy P-3C antisubmarine aircraft, 28 Harpoon missiles, 360 AIM-9L missiles, and other Army and Air Force equipment. This provision, known as the Brown amendment, after its Senate sponsor, passed the Senate last year. Although the provision was never debated in the House, it carried in conference. I drafted a letter to the conferees, which was signed by 40 other Members from both sides of the aisle urging that this provision not be included in the bill. But, owing in large part to the support of the administration and the influence of the pro-Pakistan lobby, the provision was included in the bill and became law

As far back as last summer, many of us in Congress—Democrats and Republicans, Members of both bodies—argued that providing these weapons to Pakistan was a bad idea, giving Pakistan's ongoing determinations to develop nuclear weapons, it involvement in arming, training, and financing terrorist movements and its often open hostility to Western interests. Last summer, it was reported that Pakistan received Chinese M-11 missiles, in direct violation of the Missile Technology Control Regime. These missiles are capable of carrying nuclear warheads, and can strike cities within a 275-mile radius. It was reported last year that Pakistan developed its nuclear weapons from a blueprint provided by the People's Republic of China, and Pakistan then gave this blueprint to Iran. Pakistan remains an unstable nation, where the military does not seem to be under strong civilian control, a country which supports the embargo of Israel and does not recognize the State of Israel.

Then came the revelations early this year, based on intelligence information, that Pakistan purchased 5,000 ring magnets from the People's Republic of China in late 1994 and early 1995. These ring magnets are used to enrich uranium, a key component for making nuclear weapons. This transfer, which Pakistan has repeatedly denied to the administration and the Congress, is a direct violation of the Glenn-Symington Amendment and the 1994 amendment to the Non-Proliferation Act. When the Senate and the Foreign Ops Conferees considered the Brown amendment, this information was not known. I believe that this information would most certainly have swung a few votes—had it been available.

By way of a little history: during the last decade, Pakistan was the third largest recipient of United States foreign military assistance. Pakistan asked for the help of the United States in becoming conventionally strong militarily and in exchange promisedpromised—not to develop or obtain nuclear weapons. By 1985, United States intelligence had strong evidence that Pakistan was receiving United States arms while going back on its word about developing nuclear capability. As a form of leverage, the Congress in 1985 enacted the Pressler amendment, named for its Senate sponsor, requiring an annual Presidential certification that Pakistan does not have a nuclear device. In 1990, with overwhelming evidence of Pakistan's nuclear program, President Bush invoked the Pressler amendment. The United States essentially said: Yes, Pakistan has the bomb. Thus, all U.S. military assistance was ended-including weapons already contracted for and paid for but not delivered. Pakistani officials could not have been surprised, knowing these ramifications when they officially agreed to the enactment of the Pressler amendment in 1985. The only surprises may have been that they got caught and that the full penalty of the law was imposed.

It is important to recognize that Pakistan has not agreed to do anything in exchange for the release of the seized equipment. In 1993, President